

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/724,732	12/02/2003	Yi Yeol Lyu	3811-0132P	2085
2292	7590 11/15/2005	·	EXAMINER	
BIRCH STE	WART KOLASCH &	ACQUAH, SAMUEL A		
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHUI	RCH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
171223 01101	22010 0717		1711	

DATE MAILED: 11/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			16
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	•
	10/724,732	LYU ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	•
	SAMUEL A. ACQUAH	1711	
The MAILING DATE of this communicati Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence addre	9SS
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR I WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILI  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rejition.  period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT y statute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION.  ply be timely filed  "HS from the mailing date of this comm  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) 3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice unit since the closed in accordance with the closed in the	This action is non-final.  Illowance except for formal matte	•	erits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examplication may not request that any objected to by the Claim (s) the drawing sheet(s) including the cather and the application of the cather and the cather	thdrawn from consideration.  and/or election requirement.  aminer.  accepted or b) objected to by to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance correction is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents of the priority documents. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B  * See the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the application from the International B	ments have been received. ments have been received in App e priority documents have been re ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stag	ge
Attachment(s)    Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94)   Notice of Draftsperson's Patent (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date 02/02/2004.	8) Paper No(s)/N	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152	)

Art Unit: 1711

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-3, 8-21 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 3-11 of copending Application No. 10/649,823. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the composition prepared by using a Gemini surfactant, a precursor and a solvent to form a mesoporous material useful as a dielectric material is obviously the same composition claimed herein, wherein the composition is formed from a Gemini detergent, a matrix precursor in the presence of a solvent as claimed.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Application/Control Number: 10/724,732 Page 3

Art Unit: 1711

3. Claims 4-7 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SAMUEL A. ACQUAH whose telephone number is 571-272-1065. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH, FRIDAYS OFF.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, JAMES SEIDLECK can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

S.A.A. 11/13/05

AMUEL A ACQUAH RIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 175D